1	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW	KELLER GROVER, LLP	
2	PITTMAN LLP ROXANE A. POLIDORA #135972	JEFFREY F. KELLER #148005	
2	roxane.polidora@pillsburylaw.com	jfkeller@kellergrover.com 1965 Market Street	
3	50 Fremont Street, Fifth Floor	San Francisco, CA 94103	
1	Post Office Box 7880 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880	Telephone: (415) 543-1305 Facsimile: (415) 543-7861	
7	Telephone: (415) 983-1000	raesimile. (413) 343-7601	
5	Facsimile: (415) 983-1200	JACOBS KOLTON, CHTD.	
6	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW	JOHN G. JACOBS (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) BRYAN G. KOLTON (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
U	PITTMAN LLP	jgjacobs@jacobskolton.com	
7	DOUGLAS R. TRIBBLE #116868	bgkolton@jacobskolton.com	
8	CONNIE J. WOLFE #207661 douglas.tribble@pillsburylaw.com	122 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60603	
	connie.wolfe@pillsburylaw.com	Telephone: (312) 427-4000	
9	501 West Broadway, Suite 1100	Facsimile: (312) 427-1850	
10	San Diego, CA 92126-3575 Telephone: (619) 234-5000	DAVID SCHACHMAN & ASSOC., PC	
	Facsimile: (619) 236-1995	DAVID SCHACHMAN (Pro Hac Vice)	
11	Attornava for Defendants	ds@schachmanlaw.com	
12	Attorneys for Defendants PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY,	122 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60603	
	AT&T SERVICES, INC., AT&T INC. and	Telephone: (312) 427-9500	
13	AT&T OPERATIONS, INC.	Facsimile: (312) 427-1850	
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff JOY NWABUEZE	
1.5		and the Putative Class	
15			
16	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRI	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVISION	
19			
20	JOY NWABUEZE, individually and on behalf)	Case No. CV 09-01529 SI	
21	of a class of similarly situated individuals,		
21	Plaintiff,)	STIPULATED REQUEST AND	
22)	[Proposed] ORDER EXTENDING	
22	vs.	DEFENDANT AT&T, INC.'S TIME TO	
23	AT&T INC., a Delaware corporation;	RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
24	PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY)	[Local Rule 6-2]	
25	d/b/a AT&T CALIFORNIA, a California)	Indeed Hon Custon Illaton	
۷3	corporation; AT&T SERVICES, INC., a) Delaware corporation; AT&T OPERATIONS,)	Judge: Hon. Susan Illston	
26	INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1	First Amended Class Action Complaint	
27	through 21,	Filed: August 13, 2009	
<i>4 1</i>	Defendants.)		
28)		

1	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Joy Nwabueze ("Plaintiff") caused the Summons and	
2	Complaint in this action to be served on Defendant AT&T Inc. on April 30, 2009;	
3	WHEREAS, Plaintiff subsequently filed a First Amended Complaint for Damages,	
4	Declaratory and Injunctive Relief on August 13, 2009;	
5	WHEREAS, Defendant AT&T, Inc. filed a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Persona	
6	Jurisdiction pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on	
7	September 18, 2009, and that motion was taken off calendar pending the resolution of the	
8	Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure filed	
9	by the other Defendants and joined by AT&T Inc. in the alternative;	
10	WHEREAS, in a Minute Order dated February 4, 2011, the Court terminated all	
11	prior motions to dismiss and set March 18, 2011 as the date by which any such motions to	
12	dismiss must be refiled, if necessary;	
13	WHEREAS, parties have been engaged in discussions regarding the possible	
14	dismissal without prejudice of Defendant AT&T, Inc., and require additional time to	
15	complete such discussions;	
16	WHEREAS, Defendant AT&T, Inc. requested, and Plaintiff agreed, to stipulate to a	
17	thirty-day extension of the time until April 18, 2011, within which Defendant AT&T, Inc.	
18	must respond to the First Amended Complaint;	
19	WHEREAS, on March 14, 2011, the Court entered an Order granting the thirty-day	
20	extension of time for Defendant AT&T, Inc. to respond to the First Amended Complaint.	
21	WHEREAS, Defendant AT&T, Inc. requested, and Plaintiff agreed, to stipulate to	
22	an additional thirty-day extension of the time, until May 18, 2011, within which Defendant	
23	AT&T, Inc. must respond to the First Amended Complaint;	
24	WHEREAS, the parties believe that deferring the issue of personal jurisdiction over	
25	Defendant AT&T, Inc. at this time will not delay the litigation and may instead realize	
26	efficiencies and judicial economy;	
27	WHEREAS, the extension will not alter the date of any event or deadline already	
28	fixed by Court order other than Defendant AT&T, Inc.'s response date;	

Case 3:09-cv-01529-SI Document 99 Filed 04/13/11 Page 3 of 5

1	NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated by and between the parties, through		
2	their respective counsel, that Defendant AT&T Inc. shall have until May 18, 2011, within		
3	which to respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.		
4	Dated: April 11, 2011.		
5		PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP ROXANE A. POLIDORA CONNIE J. WOLFE	
6		By /s/ Roxane A. Polidora	
7 8		Roxane A. Polidora Attorneys for Defendant AT&T INC.	
9	Dated: April 11, 2011	KELLER GROVER, LLP JEFFREY F. KELLER	
10		JACOBS KOLTON, CHTD.	
11		JOHN G. JACOBS BRYAN G. KOLTON	
12		DAVID SCHACHMAN & ASSOC., PC	
13		DAVID SCHACHMAN	
14		By /s/ John G. Jacobs	
15		John G. Jacobs Attorneys for Plaintiff	
16		JOY NWABUEZE and the Putative Class	
17	A TT	PECTATION OF CIONATUDE	
18	ATTESTATION OF SIGNATURE (N.D. Cal. General Order 45)		
19	I, Roxane A. Polidora, hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of the following		
20	document: STIPULATED REQUEST AND [Proposed] ORDER EXTENDING		
21	DEFENDANT AT&T, INC.'S TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED		
22	COMPLAINT [Local Rule 6-2] has been obtained from all of the signatories.		
23	Dated: April 11, 2011	/s/ Roxane A. Polidora	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

- 3 -

1	1		
2	2		
3	<u>ORDER</u>		
4	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The deadline for		
5	Defendant AT&T Inc. to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint		
6			
7		rable Susan Illston	
8		ates District Judge	
9	9		
10	0		
11	1		
12	2		
13	3		
14	4		
15	5		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
2627			
28			
40			

- 4 -

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>			
2	I hereby certify that on April 12, 2011, a true and correct copy of STIPULATED			
3	REQUEST AND [Proposed] ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANT AT&T, INC.'S			
4	TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT [Local Rule 6-2] was			
5	electronically transmitted to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and			
6	transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to all ECF registrants in this case.			
· _. 7	Nach Chat			
8	Kathy Stout			
9	Kan Stout			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14	•			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				